**Fall 2024 Faculty Research and Creative Work Funds Application Review**

**Review Committee Member Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Applicant Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Activity Eligibility:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **YES** | **NO** | **Discussion Needed** |
| 1. The activity will take place/is taking place after the application deadline (October 8, 2024).
 |  |  |  |
| 1. The proposal is to conduct and/or present research and creative activity. Examples of eligible activities include: traveling to do interviews or archival research; conducting research in a lab or field setting; presenting, exhibiting, and/or performing their scholarly, research, or creative work (e.g., travel, registration fees). This might include fees associated with attendance at workshops/seminars associated with applicant’s discipline and/or research/creative agenda where presentation/exhibition is not required.
 |  |  |  |

**Applicant Eligibility:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **YES** | **NO** | **Discussion Needed** |
| 1. Applicant is a full-time faculty member with a continuing appointment in A&S.
 |  |  |  |
| 1. Applicant is a prior awardee.

If “Yes,” then eligibility is contingent on “Yes” response to 3 immediately following. |  |  |  |
| 1. If “Yes” on 1: Applicant has completed the post-funding report form within three (3) months of the activity funded by previous award.
 |  |  |  |

**Evaluation of Impact (Numeric Rating Scale 1 – 5):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Impact Area** | **Rating** |
| Impact of the activity on the faculty member’s research and career advancement |  |

1. Impact of activity on research and career advancement is not evident.
2. Impact of activity on research and career advancement is minimally or partially evident.
3. Impact of activity on research and career advancement is sufficiently evident.
4. Impact of activity on research and career advancement is effectively illustrated with appropriate examples, metrics, and/or other evidence.
5. High impact of activity on research and career advancement is effectively illustrated with appropriate examples, metrics, and/or other evidence.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Impact Area** | **Rating** |
| Impact of the activity on the public good |  |

1. Impact of activity on the public good is not evident.
2. Impact of activity on the public good is minimally or partially evident.
3. Impact of activity on the public good advancement is sufficiently evident.
4. Impact of activity on the public good is effectively illustrated with appropriate examples, metrics, and/or other evidence.
5. High impact of activity on the public good is effectively illustrated with appropriate examples, metrics, and/or other evidence.

**Average Impact Rating: \_\_\_\_**